February 28, 2001

DSSD CENSUS 2000 PROCEDURES AND OPERATIONS MEMORANDUM SERIES B-10*

MEMORANDUM FOR Howard Hogan

Chief, Decennial Statistical Studies Division

From: Donna Kostanich β κ

Assistant Division Chief, Sampling and Estimation

Decennial Statistical Studies Division

Prepared by: James Farber IFF

Sample Design Team

Subject: Accuracy and Coverage Evaluation: Consistency of

Post-Stratification Variables

The attached document was prepared, per your request, to assist the Executive Steering Committee on A.C.E. Policy in assessing the data with and without statistical correction.

This report focuses on the consistency of post-stratification variables between the P sample and E sample. The analysis is limited to P-sample and E-sample cases that matched following person matching.

Accuracy and Coverage Evaluation: Consistency of Post-Stratification Variables

Table of Contents

Executive Summary
What are the overall rates of inconsistency?
What are the potential effects of inconsistency?
What particular groups have the highest rates of inconsistency?
How does imputation affect inconsistency?
·
Introduction
Methodology
How do we measure consistency?
What are the limitations on this analysis?
Results
What are the post-stratification variables involved?
Tenure
Age/Sex
Race/Hispanic Origin Domain
What are the overall consistency results for these variables?
What are the patterns of inconsistency for each variable?
What are the consistency results for cross-classifications of the post-stratification
variables?
What are the consistency results for each of the 448 post-strata?
what are the consistency results for each of the 448 post-strata?
References
Appendices
Appendix 1. Characteristic Imputation
Appendix 2. Race/Hispanic Origin Domains

Tables

Table 1: Consistency of Matching P-Sample and E-Sample Post-Stratification Variables 7
Table A-1: Consistency of Post-Stratification Variables: Tenure A-1
Table A-2: Consistency of Post-Stratification Variables: Age/Sex
Table A-3: Consistency of Post-Stratification Variables: Race/Hispanic Origin Domains A-3
Table B-1: Consistency of P and E Samples for Tenure by Age/Sex GroupsB-1
Table C-1: Consistency of P and E Samples for Domain by Age/Sex Groups
Table D-1: Consistency of P and E Samples for Domain by Tenure Groups D-1
Tables E-1 to E-64: Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Groups E-1 - 32
Table 1.1: Identifying Imputed Values for the P Sample
Table 1.2: Identifying Imputed Values for the E Sample

Accuracy and Coverage Evaluation: Consistency of Post-Stratification Variables

prepared by James Farber

Executive Summary

We assessed the consistency of the tenure, age/sex, and race/Hispanic origin domain post-stratification variables. A post-stratification variable is consistent when a person's reported or imputed responses to that variable in the P sample and E sample are the same or result in the person being assigned to the same category of the variable. For example, a person who reports her age as 28 in the Accuracy and Coverage Evaluation (A.C.E.) interview but says she is 27 on her census form is consistent because she is in the 18 to 29 category of the Age post-stratification variable in both the P sample and E sample.

To assess consistency, we compared the data for matching P-sample and E-sample people. People who do not match are excluded from this analysis. To compare the P and E samples, we must have responses in both of those systems, and only matched people satisfy this criterion.

What are the overall rates of inconsistency?

Overall, the rates of inconsistency for the matched cases are about 5 percent or lower for all of the post-stratification variables. We have not quantified the effect of this level of inconsistency on the A.C.E. estimates.

What are the potential effects of inconsistency?

When people switch categories of a post-stratification variable, they are placed into two different post-strata: one based on their P-sample response and the other based on their E-sample response. If the coverage properties of these two post-strata are similar, then the effects of inconsistency are negligible. However, if the coverage properties differ significantly, then inconsistency contributes to heterogeneity bias that can affect the A.C.E. estimates. For example, the coverage correction factor for a given population group will be lower than the true factor if many people from a group with better coverage mis-classified themselves into the poorer coverage group. This effect can occur even if the inconsistency is balanced overall. For example, the switching between owners and non-owners could be equal but the coverage correction factors for those groups could still change if owners and non-owners have different match rates or correct enumeration rates.

Even with heterogeneity bias, the coverage correction factors for all post-strata are still in the direction of the true factors. The solution to avoiding inconsistency is to collapse post-strata, which introduces significantly more heterogeneity bias than inconsistency alone. Also, because the A.C.E. estimates are based on match and correct enumeration rates, which are generally near

one for all post-strata, mis-classification would have to be severe to significantly affect the coverage correction factors for a group.

What particular groups have the highest rates of inconsistency?

Of the two tenure groups, seven age/sex groups, and seven race/Hispanic origin domains, two groups stand out with particularly high rates of inconsistency: the domains of American Indian off reservations and Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander. These domains have inconsistency rates at least two times higher than any other major demographic group. For the American Indian off reservations domain, much of the switching occurs with Non-Hispanic White or Other Race. A.C.E. results indicate that the Non-Hispanic White domain has better coverage than American Indian off reservations (Davis, 2001). Thus the pattern of inconsistency in the American Indian off reservations domain reduces the coverage correction factor for the domain. But because most of the matched people in the American Indian off reservations domain are consistent, its coverage correction factor is still closer to the truth than a factor provided by collapsing the domain into other post-strata. A similar situation may exist in the Native Hawaiian domain.

How does imputation affect inconsistency?

Imputed cases have significantly greater rates of inconsistency than non-imputed cases for all of the post-stratification variables. This does not imply that the imputation methods are faulty, however. The P-sample and E-sample imputation methods have different processes and different amounts of data available for imputation, factors that contribute to higher rates of inconsistency for imputed people.

Introduction

The Accuracy and Coverage Evaluation (A.C.E.) consists of two independent samples. The first is a sample of the population in selected A.C.E. sample areas, known as the Population or P sample. Matching these people to census records provides an estimate of the proportion of the population missed in the census. The second is a sample of the census enumerations in the same A.C.E. sample areas, known as the Enumeration or E sample. An estimate of the proportion of correctly enumerated census people can be determined using the results of matching the P sample to the census, checking for duplication among the census records, and re-interviewing when needed to determine the correct inclusion of each E-sample person. Together, these estimates measure the net omissions or erroneous enumerations in the census.

The A.C.E. includes dual system estimates for up to 448 post-strata for the 50 states and the District of Columbia (Haines, 2000A). Each P-sample person and E-sample person is assigned to a post-stratum based on the values of race, Hispanic origin, age, sex, and tenure. Ideally, a P-sample person who matches to an E-sample person will have consistent values for those post-stratification variables, but in reality this may not occur. If a matched person does not have consistent characteristics in his P-sample and E-sample records, then that single person would be classified into two different post-strata when estimating the net proportions of people missed or correctly enumerated in the census.

The purpose of this report is to get an indication of the consistency of the post-stratification variables between the P sample and E sample. Persistent differences in the classification of people in the census and the A.C.E. may introduce a potential bias into the coverage estimates. This bias is sometimes referred to as classification error.

One reason the two systems may differ is when a person has an unknown post-stratification variable that is filled in through characteristic imputation. Both the P sample and E sample are subject to characteristic imputation. Appendix 1 gives the criteria for determining if a characteristic has undergone imputation. There are separate criteria for the P and E samples. For this analysis, if a characteristic is imputed for either the P sample or the E sample, then the entire case is considered imputed for that characteristic.

This report distinguishes between imputed and non-imputed characteristics. Some tables display results for total cases and non-imputed cases. The corresponding results for imputed cases are the difference between the total and non-imputed. This decomposition clarifies the source of inconsistency. For imputed cases, inconsistency is often attributable to the characteristic imputation procedure. For non-imputed cases, inconsistencies arise due to inconsistent reporting, which has many possible causes including the data collection mode, time lag from reference day, proxy responses, or data capture difficulties. This report does not explore these reasons for misclassification.

This report also excludes adjustments for P-sample matches to E-sample duplicates. In the person matching process, a P-sample case may possibly match to an E-sample case later found to be a duplicate of another E-sample case or a non-E-sample census record. In this situation, we use only the matched P-sample and E-sample records to assess consistency.

A similar analysis on consistency was conducted for the 1998 Census 2000 Dress Rehearsal and the 1995 Census Test (see Salganik, 1999 and Petroni, 1996A and 1996B). An analysis on the 1990 Post-Enumeration Survey (PES) data is desirable but information linking PES P-sample and E-sample records is not readily available, making such an analysis difficult at this time.

Methodology

How do we measure consistency?

A variable is defined as consistent when the information collected for a person is the same in the P sample and E sample or results in the classification of the person to the same category of the post-stratification variable. For example, a person who reports her age as 28 in the P sample and 27 in the E sample would be classified in the 18 - 29 group of the age post-stratification variable, and thus that person's age is consistent even though it does not match exactly.

What are the limitations on this analysis?

Measuring consistency requires both a P-sample response and an E-sample response for a person, which limits this analysis to only matched people. This means we may under-report the amount of inconsistency. The non-matched people may be more inconsistent simply because they cannot be matched. One should use caution when drawing conclusions about the entire population based on the consistency of only the matched people. Ideally, this report would include the non-matched cases to obtain an overall measure of inconsistency, but this is not possible. Assessing consistency requires that the P-sample and E-sample information be linked. Non-matched people do not have that link, and thus can not be studied.

Also, we excluded P-sample people who matched to census enumerations not in the E sample during the Targeted Extended Search operation, in which census enumerations in blocks surrounding the E-sample clusters were searched to reduce the number of P-sample non-matches. Including such cases is technically feasible but difficult in practice, and the gain in assessing consistency would likely be minimal because there are few of these cases compared to the number of matches.

Results

What are the post-stratification variables involved?

The post-stratification variables considered in this analysis are tenure, age/sex, and race/Hispanic origin domain. The other post-stratification variables are geographically assigned variables that by definition are consistent between the P and E samples. A person is defined as consistent if their P-sample and E-sample responses are in the same group of each post-stratification variable, as listed below:

Tenure

- Owner
- Non-owner

Age/Sex

- Under 18
- 18 29 Male
- 18 29 Female
- 30 49 Male
- 30 49 Female
- 50 + Male
- 50 + Female

Race/Hispanic Origin Domain (see Appendix 2 for more detail on these seven domains)

•	Domain 1	American Indian or Alaska Native on reservations
•	Domain 2	American Indian or Alaska Native off reservations
•	Domain 3	Hispanic
•	Domain 4	Non-Hispanic Black
•	Domain 5	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander
•	Domain 6	Non-Hispanic Asian
•	Domain 7	Non-Hispanic White or "Some Other Race"

What are the overall consistency results for these variables?

Table 1 below summarizes the consistency for each of these three post-stratification variables by imputation status. Tables A-1, A-2, and A-3 in Attachment A give more detailed results. The total number of matched cases is the same for all three variables, but their distributions differ by imputation status.

The overall rates of inconsistency are about 5 percent or lower for all of the post-stratification variables, as shown in Table 1. For non-imputed people, those matched people who responded in both the P and E samples, the rates are lower, while imputed cases have much higher inconsistency rates. Because there are many more non-imputed people than imputed people, the higher rates of inconsistency for the imputed people have little effect on the overall rates of inconsistency. For example, the tenure results in Table 1 show a total of 549,645 matched people, of whom 28,930 people, or only about 5 percent, required imputation for tenure. Even though these imputed people have much higher rates of inconsistency than non-imputed people, their small contribution to the total matched people raises the overall tenure inconsistency rate by less than 1 percent.

Table 1: Consistency of Matching P-Sample and E-Sample Post-Stratification Variables

(Source: A.C.E. post-stratification output files)

Variable	Total	Consistent	Inconsi	stent
variable	Cases	Cases	Cases	Percent
Tenure	549,645	523,830	25,815	4.70%
Non-Imputed	520,715	501,186	19,529	3.75%
Imputed	28,930	22,644	6,286	21.73%
Age/Sex	549,645	521,484	28,161	5.12%
Non-Imputed	511,792	497,176	14,616	2.86%
Imputed	37,853	24,308	13,545	35.78%
Race/Origin Domain	549,645	528,371	21,274	3.87%
Non-Imputed	505,389	489,299	16,090	3.18%
Imputed	44,256	39,072	5,184	11.71%

What are the patterns of inconsistency for each variable?

Tables A-1, A-2, and A-3 in Attachment A give detailed consistency results for each post-stratification variable. For example, Table A-1 shows the cross-tabulation of P-sample responses and E-sample responses for tenure for all matched people and for non-imputed people. The data in the shaded cells on the diagonal are consistent, so overall 370,258 matched people reported that they were owners both in their A.C.E. interviews, which became their P-sample data, and on their census forms, which became their E-sample data. The off-diagonal data represent inconsistent people. Inconsistency appears to be correlated with coverage. For example, non-

owners have typically been missed at higher rates than owners in the census, and their inconsistency rates are also higher than owners. Similarly, the inconsistency rates in Table A-2 generally drop as people get older, as do undercount rates. However, the 0 - 17 age/sex group is an exception.

Table A-3 shows the consistency of the race/Hispanic origin domain variable, and illustrates the potential effects of inconsistency on the A.C.E. estimates. The inconsistency rates for domain two, American Indian off reservations, are about 30 percent for both the P and E samples. Much of the switching in this domain occurs with domain seven, Non-Hispanic White or Other Race. The A.C.E. results suggest that American Indians off reservations tend to be missed in the census at higher rates than Whites (Davis, 2001). Thus the inconsistency in the American Indian off reservations domain likely reduces its coverage correction factor. However, because about 70 percent of the matched people in the domain are consistent, its coverage correction factor is still closer to the truth than a factor provided by, for example, collapsing the American Indian off reservations domain with another domain. The effects of this classification error on the White domain is negligible. The large number of consistent people in that domain makes its coverage correction factor robust to the small number of American Indians off reservations switching into White domain.

A similar situation might exist in domain five, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, although the coverage properties for that domain are not significantly different from those of any other domain.

What are the consistency results for cross-classifications of the poststratification variables?

Tables B-1, C-1, and D-1 in Attachments B, C, and D, respectively, provide consistency results for cross-classifications of the three post-stratification variables involved in this report:

- Table B-1 has tenure by age/sex
- Table C-1 has race/Hispanic origin domain by age/sex
- Table D-1 has race/Hispanic origin domain by tenure

Note that inconsistency increases for the more detailed cross-classifications in Tables B-1, C-1, and D-1, which agrees with expectations. For example, a person is consistent in Table B-1 only if she has the same value of tenure in the P and E samples and the same value of age/sex in the P and E samples, which is a stricter condition than being consistent on only one of those variables. Hence each person has a greater chance of being inconsistent. Particularly in the age/sex tables, a matched person might report P-sample and E-sample ages that differ only by one year and yet be in two different age categories.

Also note that in the tables in Attachments B, C, D, and E, a matched inconsistent person may appear in two tables: one based on P-sample data and the other based on E-sample data. For

example, the 11,652 people who are P-sample owners and E-sample non-owners in Table A-1 are counted in both owner and non-owner tables in Attachments B, C, D, and E.

What are the consistency results for each of the 448 post-strata?

Tables E-1 through E-64 in Attachment E show consistency results for each of the 64 major post-stratum groups by the 7 age/sex groups.

These tables support the fact that inconsistency increases with more detailed cross-classifications. For example, the overall rate of inconsistency for the American Indian cff reservation domain is high compared to the other domains, but those rates increase dramatically when measuring inconsistency for each individual post-stratum within this domain.

References

Davis, P., "Accuracy and Coverage Evaluation: Dual System Estimation Results," DSSD Census 2000 Procedures and Operations Memorandum Series B-9*, February 28, 2001.

Haines, D. (2000A), "Accuracy and Coverage Evaluation Survey: Final Post-stratification Plan for Dual System Estimation," DSSD Census 2000 Procedures and Operations Memorandum Series Q-24, April 19, 2000.

Haines, D. (2000B), "Accuracy and Coverage Evaluation Survey: Computer Specifications for Person Dual System Estimation (U.S.)," DSSD Census 2000 Procedures and Operations Memorandum Series #Q-29, July 27, 2000.

Petroni, R., "Disagreement of Characteristics Between R-Sample and Census Linked Cases for Oakland - Preliminary Findings," Internal Census Bureau Memorandum, March 11, 1996.

Petroni, R., "Disagreement of Characteristics Between R-Sample and Census Linked Cases for Oakland - More Findings," Internal Census Bureau Memorandum, May 7, 1996.

Salganik, M., "Accuracy and Coverage Evaluation Survey: Consistency of Potential Poststratification Variables," DSSD Census 2000 Procedures and Operations Memorandum Series Q-10, September 23, 1999.

Table A-1: Consistency of Post-Stratification Variables: Tenure (Source: A.C.E. post-stratification output files)

		E Sample	nple		"
Total Matched Cases	ases	Owner	Non-Owner	Total	% Inconsistent
ت 1	Owner	370,258	11,652	381,910	3.05%
r sampie	Non-Owner	14,163	14,163 [35,152]	167,735	8.44%
	Total	384,421	165,224	549,645	
o'	% Inconsistent	3.68%	7.05%		4.70%
Non-Imputed Cases	ises				
0 000000	Owner	354,245		362,929	2.39%
r Sampie	Non-Owner	10,845	146,941	157,786	6.87%
	Total	365,090	155,625	520,715	
ó	% Inconsistent	2.97%	5.58%		3.75%

Table A-2: Consistency of Post-Stratification Variables: Age/Sex (Source: A.C.E. post-stratification output files)

	•				E Sample					
Total Matched Cases	Cases	0-17	18 - 29 M	18 - 29 F	30 - 49 M	30 - 49 F	50+ M	50 + F	Total	% Inconsistent
	0 - 17	143,943	743	579	361	329	161	246	146,392	1.67%
	18 - 29 M	\$69	36,287	992	1,056	44	400	23	39,497	8.13%
	18 - 29 F	581	1,333	38,331	43	1,069	Ξ	461	41,829	8.36%
P Sample	30 - 49 M	226	1,134	52	77,340	1,873	1,652	57	82,334	%20.9
	30 - 49 F	198	57	066	2,835	83,741	75	1,903	89,799	6.75%
	S0 + M	78	230	15	1,448	63	63,802	1,676	67,312	5.21%
	50 + F	108	14	226	64	1,497	2,533	78,040	82,482	5.39%
	Total	145,829	39,798	41,185	83,147	88,616	68,664	82,406	549,645	
	% Inconsistent	1.29%	8.82%	6.93%	%86.9	5.50%	7.08%	5.30%		5.12%
Non-Imputed Matched Cases	fatched Cases		:							
	0 - 17	135,650		205	103	126	92	105	136,537	. 0.65%
	18 - 29 M	333	34,805	694	460	17	31	3	36,343	4.23%
	18 - 29 F	281	1,014	37,002	17	451	3	23	38,791	4.61%
P Sample	30 - 49 M	20	630	27	74,147	1,253	592	14	76,713	3.34%
	30 - 49 F	99	21	571	2,168	80,192	50	269	83,734	4.23%
	S0 + M	=	36	8	584	20	960,19	1,117	62,867	2.82%
	50 + F	23	4	25	14	564	1,893	74,284	76,807	3.28%
	Total	136,413	36,782	38,527	77,493	82,623	63,711	76,243	511,792	
	% Inconsistent	0.56%	5.37%	3 96%	4 32%	2.94%	4.10%	2.57%		2.86%

Table A-3: Consistency of Post-Stratification Variables: Race/Hispanic Origin Domains (Source: A.C.E. post-stratification output files)

Total Me	Total Matched Cases				E Sample					
I OLAI IVI	itelieu Cases				a di				Total	% Incon
		Domain 1	Domain 2	Domain 3	Domain 4	Domain 5	Domain 6	Domain 7		
P Sample	Domain 1	11,009	0	34	12	0	0	118	11,173	1.47%
	Domain 2	0	2,223	59	104	0	30	793	3,209	30.73%
	Domain 3	44	136	67,985	610	42	267	4,004	73,088	%86'9
	Domain 4	10	611	496	65,679	9	118	1,423	67,851	3.20%
	Domain 5	0	8	31	61	1,671	204	177	2,105	20.62%
	Domain 6	-	31	107	102	143	19,679	1,062	21,125	6.84%
	Domain 7	107	944	5,041	2,589	183	2,105	360,125	371,094	2.96%
	Total	11,171	3,456	73,753	69,115	2,045	22,403	367,702	549,645	
	% Inconsistent	1.45%	35 68%	7.82%	4.97%	18 29%	12.16%	2 06%		3.87%
Non-Impu	Non-Imputed Matched Cases	ases								
P Sample	Domain 1	10,485	0	24	01	0	0	103	10,622	1.29%
	Domain 2	0	0 2,033	48	84	0	25	902	2,896	29.80%
-	Domain 3	28	84	54,116	401	34	177	2,997	57,837	6 43%
	Domain 4	10	94	349	59,441	5	80	1,068	61,047	2.63%
· · ·	Domain 5	0	3	15	16	1,552	178	147	116'1	18.79%
	Domain 6	_	15	72	69	ू े 011	18,040	740	19,047	\$.29%
	Domain 7	93	848	3,520	2,073	141	1,722	343,632	352,029	2 39%
	Total	10,617	3,077	58,144	62,094	1,842	20,222	349,393	505,389	
	% Inconsistent	1.24%	33 93%	6.93%	4.27%	15.74%	10.79%	1 65%		3.18%
Domain I Ame	Domain 1 American Indian or Alaska Native on reservations	ka Native on reserva	ations		Don	ain 5: Native Ha	Domain 5: Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	lander		

Domain 1 American Indian or Alaska Native on reservations Domain 2 American Indian or Alaska Native off reservations Domain 3 : flispanic Domain 4. Non-Hispanic Black

Domain 5: Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander Domain 6 Non-Hispunic Asian Domain 7 Non-Hispanic White or Other Race

Table B-1. Consistency of P and E Samples for Tenure by Age/Sex Groups

OWNER BY AGE/SEX								
	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	106540	23968	23726	64603	20006	61994	72400	423237
Number Consistent	88566	17496	17258	51831	56398	51356	60189	343094
Proportion Consistent	0.83	0.73	0.73	0.8	0.81	0.83	0.83	0.81
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEC	PEOPLE							
Total	89175	19185	19049	54567	59096	52162	59859	353093
Number Consistent	77568	15495	15375	46954	51022	46107	52991	305512
Proportion Consistent	0.87	0.81	0.81	0.86	0.86	0.88	0.89	0.87
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	17365	4783	4677	10036	10910	9832	12541	70144
Number Consistent	10998	2001	1883	4877	5376	5249	7198	37582
Proportion Consistent	0.63	0.42	0.4	0.49	0.49	0.53	0.57	0.54
NON-OWNER BY AGE/SEX								
	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	56104	22366	24373	29300	30863	13951	19215	196172
Number Consistent	41011	15465	17657	19747	21148	8675	13084	136787
Proportion Consistent	0.73	0.69	0.72	0.67	0.69	0.62	0.68	0.7
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEC	PEOPLE							
Total	43981	17416	19497	22868	24169	10327	14280	152538
Number Consistent	34026	13357	15461	17180	18240	7435	10910	116609
Proportion Consistent	0.77	0.77	0.79	0.75	0.75	0.72	0.76	0.76
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	12123	4950	4876	6432	6694	3624	4935	43634
Number Consistent	6985	2108	2196	2567	2908	1240	2174	20178
Proportion Consistent	0.58	0.43	0.45	0.4	0.43	0.34	0.44	0.46

Table C-1. Consistency of P and E Samples for Domain by Age/Sex Groups

NON-HISPANIC WHITE BY AGE/SEX	AGE/SEX 0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+ 61-27	50 + 6	Total
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE		וומדכ	ב מוווט ד	שמדב	נפווסדע	אַמדָּת	שושום נ	
Total	93426	27550	27892	64098	66579	58268	68543	406356
Number Consistent	78998	20795	21397	51573	54010	48338	57329	332440
Proportion Consistent	0.85	0.75	0.77	0.8	0.81	0.83	0.84	0.82
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE	EOPLE							
Total	81215	23116	23606	55454	57646	49677	57564	348278
Number Consistent	71559	19066	19717	47776	49995	43984	51183	303280
Proportion Consistent	0.88	0.82	0.84	0.86	0.87	0.89	0.89	0.87
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE	떮							
Total	12211	4434	4286	8644	8933	8591	10979	58078
Number Consistent	7439	1729	1680	3797	4015	4354	6146	29160
Proportion Consistent	0.61	0.39	0.39	0.44	0.45	0.51	0.56	0.5
NON-HISPANIC BLACK BY AGE/SEX	AGE/SEX							
	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	24994	5581	7198	10132	13627	7467	10806	79805
Number Consistent	19062	3657	4999	6972	9550	5143	7778	57161
Proportion Consistent	0.76	99.0	0.69	0.69	0.7	0.69	0.72	0.72
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PI	PEOPLE							
Total	19774	4228	5606	7748	10500	5408	7727	16609
Number Consistent	15988	3169	4375	5970	8146	4195	6172	48015
Proportion Consistent	0.81	0.75	0.78	0.77	0.78	0.78	0.8	0.79
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	5220	1353	1592	2384	3127	2059	3079	18814
Number Consistent	3074	488	624	1002	1404	948	1606	9146
Proportion Consistent	0.59	0.36	0.39	0.42	0.45	0.46	0.52	0.49

HISPANIC BY AGE/SEX								
	0-17	18-29 Male	18-29 Female	30-49 Male	30-49 Female	50+ Male	50+ Female	Total
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE	30557	9332	8823	12561	12796	5612	6726	86406
Number Consistent	22395	6193	6020	8597	8985	3687	4558	60435
Proportion Consistent		99.0	0.68	0.68	0.7	99.0	0.68	0.7
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE	EOPLE	6214	6044	σ cu α	7,588	2849	4613	79103
Number Consistent	16192	4589	4516	6460	6708	2881	3479	44825
Proportion Consistent		0.74	0.75	0.76	0.76	0.75	0.75	0.76
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE	ឆ្ម							
Total	9520	3118	2778	4032	3959	1763	2113	27283
Number Consistent	6203	1604	1504	2137	2277	908	1079	15610
Proportion Consistent	0.65	0.51	0.54	0.53	0.58	0.46	0.51	0.57
			-					
NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR PA	PACIFIC ISLANDER	ΒY	AGE/SEX					
	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	20+	Total
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	819	239	269	404	402	252	277	2662
Number Consistent	523	120	136	220	198	139	152	1488
Proportion Consistent	0.64	0.5	0.51	0.54	0.49	0.55	0.55	0.56
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED P	PEOPLE							
Total	099	193	208	318	318	197	220	2114
Number Consistent	448	111	123	191	180	127	133	1313
Proportion Consistent	0.68	0.58	0.59	9.0	0.57	0.64	9.0	0.62
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	159	46	61	98	84	ខ្ម	57	548
Number Consistent	75	o c	13	29	7 T8	12	o Ho	175
roporcion consistente		4.	•	•	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1)))	1

NON-HISPANIC ASIAN BY AGE/SEX 0-17	AGE/SEX 0-17	18-29 Male	18-29 Female	30-49 Male	30-49 Female	50+ Male	50+ Female	Total
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total	5974	2231	2374	4353	4790	2879	3506	26107
Number Consistent	4161	1383	1440	2879	2	on	2388	17421
Proportion Consistent	0.7	0.62	0.61	99.0	0.68	0.66	0.68	0.67
мритер матснер	PEOPLE	; ;	0 0 0	1000	ራ መ ተ	2221	2655	20401
Total	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4	1102	1007 1006	5 4 7 C	2883	1648	2022	15035
Number Consistent Proportion Consistent	3468	0.69	0.69	0.74	0.76	0.74	0.76	0.74
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE			1	ć	ŭ	a u	α π	5706
Total	1284	514	242	288	010	0 0	4 (9866
Number Consistent	693	190	172	326	381	258	300	9007
Proportion Consistent	0.54	0.37	0.32	0.37	0.39	0.39	0.43	0.42
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE ON	ASKA NAI		RESERVATION BY	AGE			;	
	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE	0	5501	1142	1,669	1882	987	1212	13364
Total	787 7814	1 1 1	747	1062	1208	644	840	8980
Number Consistent Proportion Consistent		0.64	0.65	0.64	0.64	0.65	0.69	0.67
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED P	PEOPLE							1
Total	4655	821	915	1358	1514	773	965	10011
Number Consistent	3400	586	671	935	1065	523	726	7942
Proportion Consistent	0.73	0.71	0.73	0.69	0.7	0.72	0.75	0.72
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE		Č	C		3,48	214	247	2363
Total	784	717	777	0 t t))) ()	; 1 α	4.1	1038
Number Consistent		۲ / ۲		177) <	0 46	0.44
Proportion Consistent	0.53	0.37	0.33	0.41	٠. د.	۴. >) * •	4 + ->

AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALA	SKA NAT	IVE OFF RI	ESERVATION BY	AGE/SEX				
0-17 18-29	0-17	18-29	18-29 30-49	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	1435	368	402	989	793	480	545	4709
Number Consistent	624	148	176	275	331	174	228	1956
Proportion Consistent	0.43	0.4	0.44	0.4	0.42	0.36	0.42	0.42
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE	OPLE							
Total	1125	312	335	557	635	364	395	3723
Number Consistent	539	138	166	249	285	148	186	1711
Proportion Consistent	0.48	0.44	0.5	0.45	0.45	0.41	0.47	0.46
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	310	56	67	129	158	116	150	986
Number Consistent	85	10	10	26	46	26	42	245
Proportion Consistent	0.27	0.18	0.15	0.2	0.29	0.22	0.28	0.25

Table D-1. Consistency of P and E Samples for Domain by Tenure Groups

NON-HISPANIC WHITE BY	TENURE		
•	Owner	Non-Owner	Total
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE			
Total	310370	95986	406356
Number Consistent	264485	67955	332440
Proportion Consistent	0.85	0.71	0.82
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PE			
Total	268981	79297	348278
Number Consistent		61555	303280
Proportion Consistent	0.9	0.78	0.87
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE			
Total	41389	16689	58078
Number Consistent	22760	6400	29160
Proportion Consistent	0.55	0.38	0.5
rioportion consistent	0.55	0.50	0.5
NON-HISPANIC BLACK BY	TENURE		
	Owner	Non-Owner	Total
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE			
Total	41408	38397	79805
Number Consistent	29931	27230	57161
Proportion Consistent	0.72	0.71	0.72
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PE		2225	
Total	31766	29225	60991
Number Consistent	25164	22851	48015
Proportion Consistent	0.79	0.78	0.79
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE			
Total	9642	9172	18814
Number Consistent	4767	4379	9146
Proportion Consistent	0.49	0.48	0.49
rroportron comprisent	0.15	0.10	0.15
HISPANIC BY TENURE			
	Owner	Non-Owner	Total
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE			
Total	42233	44173	86406
Number Consistent	29148	31287	60435
Proportion Consistent	0.69	0.71	0.7
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PE			
Total	28959	30164	59123
Number Consistent	21604	23221	44825
Proportion Consistent	0.75	0.77	0.76
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE			
Total	13274	14009	27283
Number Consistent	7544	8066	15610
Proportion Consistent	0.57	0.58	0.57
- Lopozeton Combibecite	0.3,	0.50	0.57

NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR PACI			m-+-1
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE	Owner	Non-Owner	Total
Total	1428	1234	2662
Number Consistent	769	719	1488
Proportion Consistent	0.54	0.58	0.56
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEC			
Total	1144	970	2114
Number Consistent	685	628	1313
Proportion Consistent	0.6	0.65	0.62
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE			
Total	284	264	548
Number Consistent	84	91	175
Proportion Consistent	0.3	0.34	0.32
NON-HISPANIC ASIAN BY T	TONETTO CO		
NON-HISPANIC ASIAN BY	Owner	Non-Owner	Total
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE	Owiter	MOII-OWITET	IOCAI
Total	16324	9783	26107
Number Consistent	11306	6115	17421
Proportion Consistent		0.63	0.67
Floportion consistent	0.05	0.05	0.07
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEG	PLE		
Total	12870	7531	20401
Number Consistent	9787	5248	15035
Proportion Consistent	0.76	0.7	0.74
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE			
Total	3454	2252	5706
Number Consistent	1519	867	2386
Proportion Consistent	0.44	0.38	0.42
AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALAS	SKA NATIVE ON		
	Owner	Non-Owner	Total
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE			
Total	8719	4645	13364
Number Consistent	6330	2650	8980
Proportion Consistent	0.73	0.57	0.67
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEG	OPLE		
Total	7201	3800	11001
Number Consistent	5575	2367	7942
Proportion Consistent	0.77	0.62	0.72
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE			
Total	1518	845	2363
Number Consistent	755	283	1038
Proportion Consistent	0.5	0.33	0.44

AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA	A NATIVE Owner	OFF RESERVATION Non-Owner	BY TENURE Total
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE			
Total	2755	1954	4709
Number Consistent	1125	831	1956
Proportion Consistent	0.41	0.43	0.42
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPL	ΈE		
Total	2172	1551	3723
Number Consistent	972	739	1711
Proportion Consistent	0.45	0.48	0.46
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE			
Total	583	403	986
Number Consistent	153	92	245
Proportion Consistent	0.26	0.23	0.25

Table E-1. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 1

Tenure: Owner
MSA/TEA: Large/MOMB
Return Rate: High
Region: North

North 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ Age/Sex: 50+ Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 5199 1011 1127 3571 3806 3476 4068 22258 Number Consistent 4773 797 898 3083 3294 3048 3573 19466 Proportion Consistent 0.92 0.79 0.8 0.86 0.87 0.88 0.88 0.87 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 4547 948 Total 862 3178 3356 3031 3484 19406 Number Consistent 4296 754 828 2871 3060 2786 3218 17813 0.94 0.87 Proportion Consistent 0.87 0.9 0.91 0.92 0.92 0.92 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 652 149 179 393 450 445 584 2852 Number Consistent 477 43 70 212 234 262 355 1653 Proportion Consistent 0.73 0.29 0.39 0.54 0.52 0.59 0.61 0.58

Table E-2. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 2

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Tenure: Owner
MSA/TEA: Large/MOMB
Return Rate: High
Region: Midwest

18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ Age/Sex: 0-17 50+ Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE 4045 900 Total 833 2567 2703 2112 2501 15661 Number Consistent 3725 753 2277 2385 2198 13849 672 1839 0.92 0.84 0.81 0.89 0.88 0.87 0.88 0.88 Proportion Consistent NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 775 2420 Total 3608 715 2311 1859 2161 13849 Number Consistent 3407 687 629 2235 1696 1982 12767 2131 0.94 0.92 0.92 0.91 0.92 0.92 Proportion Consistent 0.89 0.88 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 437 125 118 256 283 253 340 1812 Number Consistent 318 66 43 146 150 143 216 1082 0.73 0.53 0.36 Proportion Consistent 0.57 0.53 0.57 0.64

Table E-3. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 3

Tenure: Owner MSA/TEA: Large/MOMB Return Rate: High

Region: South Age/Sex: 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ 50+ Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 2510 477 430 1728 1851 1479 1695 10170 Number Consistent 338 1451 1542 1268 2243 372 1446 8660 0.89 0.78 0.79 0.84 0.83 0.86 Proportion Consistent 0.85 0.85 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 2220 404 357 1522 1631 1303 1452 8889 Number Consistent 311 1346 1440 1172 2061 347 1319 7996 0.93 0.86 0.87 0.88 0.88 Proportion Consistent 0.9 0.91 0.9 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 73 Total 290 73 206 220 176 243 1281 Number Consistent 182 25 27 105 102 96 127 0.63 0.34 0.37 0.51 0.46 Proportion Consistent 0.55 0.52 0.52

Table E-4. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 4

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Large/MOMB

Return Rate: High								
Region: West								
Age/Sex:	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
_		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	e
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	2846	552	531	2003	2120	2260	2540	12852
Number Consistent	2204	370	350	1557	1623	1846	2065	10015
Proportion Consistent	t 0.77	0.67	0.66	0.78	0.77	0.82	0.81	0.78
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED	PEOPLE							
Total	2425	436	430	1712	1806	1962	2173	10944
Number Consistent	1992	342	328	1444	1503	1700	1896	9205
Proportion Consistent	0.82	0.78	0.76	0.84	0.83	0.87	0.87	0.84
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOP	LE							
Total	421	116	101	291	314	298	367	1908
Number Consistent	212	28	22	113	120	146	169	810
Proportion Consistent	t 0.5	0.24	0.22	0.39	0.38	0.49	0.46	0.42

Table E-5. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 5

Tenure: Owner MSA/TEA: Large/MOMB Return Rate: Low

0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
	Harc	I Cilia I C	· Marc	remare	Haic	remare	-
1250	323	331	943	998	1100	1348	6293
905	225	215	688	734	850	1061	4678
0.72	0.7	0.65	0.73	0.74	0.77	0.79	0.74
PLE							
1018	254	274	799	836	902	1109	5192
795	203	196	641	670	757	944	4206
0.78	0.8	0.72	0.8	0.8	0.84	0.85	0.81
			,				
232	69	57	144	162	198	239	1101
110	22	19	47	64	93	117	472
0.47	0.32	0.33	0.33	0.4	0.47	0.49	0.43
	1250 905 0.72 PLE 1018 795 0.78	Male 1250 323 905 225 0.72 0.7 PLE 1018 254 795 203 0.78 0.8 232 69 110 22	Male Female 1250 323 331 905 225 215 0.72 0.7 0.65 PLE 1018 254 274 795 203 196 0.78 0.8 0.72 232 69 57 110 22 19	Male Female Male 1250 323 331 943 905 225 215 688 0.72 0.7 0.65 0.73 PLE 1018 254 274 799 795 203 196 641 0.78 0.8 0.72 0.8 232 69 57 144 110 22 19 47	Male Female Male Female 1250 323 331 943 998 905 225 215 688 734 0.72 0.7 0.65 0.73 0.74 PLE 1018 254 274 799 836 795 203 196 641 670 0.78 0.8 0.72 0.8 0.8 232 69 57 144 162 110 22 19 47 64	Male Female Male Female Male 1250 323 331 943 998 1100 905 225 215 688 734 850 0.72 0.7 0.65 0.73 0.74 0.77 PLE 1018 254 274 799 836 902 795 203 196 641 670 757 0.78 0.8 0.72 0.8 0.8 0.84 232 69 57 144 162 198 110 22 19 47 64 93	Male Female Male Female Male Female 1250 323 331 943 998 1100 1348 905 225 215 688 734 850 1061 0.72 0.7 0.65 0.73 0.74 0.77 0.79 PLE 1018 254 274 799 836 902 1109 795 203 196 641 670 757 944 0.78 0.8 0.72 0.8 0.8 0.84 0.85 232 69 57 144 162 198 239 110 22 19 47 64 93 117

Table E-6. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 6

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Large/MOMB

Return Rate: Low

Region:	Midwest								
Age/Sex:		0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	=
ALL MATCHED	PEOPLE								
Total		248	98	96	248	237	284	355	1566
Number Consis	stent	178	62	57	179	171	236	287	1170
Proportion Co	onsistent	0.72	0.63	0.59	0.72	0.72	0.83	0.81	0.75
NON-IMPUTED I	MATCHED PEO	PLE							
Total		197	74	65	209	195	237	286	1263
Number Consis	stent	159	53	50	166	156	213	254	1051
Proportion Co	onsistent	0.81	0.72	0.77	0.79	0.8	0.9	0.89	0.83
IMPUTED MATCH	HED PEOPLE								
Total		51	24	31	39	42	47	69	303
Number Consi	stent	19	9	7	13	15	23	33	119
Proportion Co	onsistent	0.37	0.38	0.23	0.33	0.36	0.49	0.48	0.39

Table E-7. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 7

Owner Tenure: MSA/TEA: Large/MOMB

Return Rate: Low

Region: South								
-								_
Age/Sex:	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
		Male	Female	e Male	Female	e Male	Femal	e
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	526	154	179	483	481	462	533	2818
Number Consistent	406	101	128	357	373	369	432	2166
Proportion Consistent	0.77	0.66	0.72	0.74	0.78	0.8	0.81	0.77
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	447	121	147	410	411	386	447	2369
Number Consistent	371	91	120	330	350	332	388	1982
Proportion Consistent	0.83	0.75	0.82	0.8	0.85	0.86	0.87	0.84
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	79	33	32	73	70	76	86	449
Number Consistent	35	10	8	27	23	37	44	184
Proportion Consistent	0.44	0.3	0.25	0.37	0.33	0.49	0.51	0.41

Table E-8. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 8

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Tenure: Owner MSA/TEA: Large/MOMB

Return Rate: Low

Region: V	<i>l</i> est								
Age/Sex:		0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
			Male	Female	e Male	Female	e Male	Female	9
ALL MATCHED PEC	PLE								
Total		438	116	98	329	357	319	370	2027
Number Consiste	ent	295	68	52	230	246	243	272	1406
Proportion Cons	sistent	0.67	0.59	0.53	0.7	0.69	0.76	0.74	0.69
NON-IMPUTED MAT	CHED PEO	PLE							
Total		363	99	78	272	298	257	301	1668
Number Consiste	ent	266	62	47	210	232	217	242	1276
Proportion Cons	sistent	0.73	0.63	0.6	0.77	0.78	0.84	0.8	0.76
IMPUTED MATCHEI	PEOPLE								
Total		75	17	20	57	59	62	69	359
Number Consiste	ent	29	6	5	20	14	26	30	130
Proportion Cons	sistent	0.39	0.35	0.25	0.35	0.24	0.42	0.43	0.36

Table E-9. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 9

Tenure: Owner

Medium/MOMB MSA/TEA:

Return Rate: High

Region: North 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ 50+ Age/Sex: Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 2554 504 467 1762 1877 1755 2083 11002 Number Consistent 375 1555 1656 1552 1881 9827 2386 422 Proportion Consistent 0.93 0.84 0.8 0.88 0.88 0.88 0.9 0.89 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 2287 449 404 1598 1679 1563 1847 9827 Number Consistent 2183 399 348 1466 1547 1433 1723 9099 Proportion Consistent 0.95 0.89 0.86 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.93 0.93 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 267 55 63 164 198 192 236 1175 Number Consistent 203 23 27 109 89 119 158 728 Proportion Consistent 0.76 0.42 0.43 0.54 0.55 0.62 0.67 0.62

Table E-10. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 10

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Owner Tenure:

MSA/TEA: Medium/MOMB

Return Rate: H	igh								
Region: M	idwest								
Age/Sex:		0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49 9	50÷	50+	Total
_			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	3
ALL MATCHED PEO	PLE								
Total		6614	1370	1318	4246	4504	3714	4168	25934
Number Consiste	nt	6218	1129	1092	3768	4037	3311	3717	23272
Proportion Cons	istent	0.94	0.82	0.83	0.89	0.9	0.89	0.89	0.9
NON-IMPUTED MAT	CHED PEOP	LE							
Total		5990	1180	1137	3827	4047	3270	3614	23065
Number Consiste	nt	5726	1055	1016	3550	3780	3064	3380	21571
Proportion Cons	istent	0.96	0.89	0.89	0.93	0.93	0.94	0.94	0.94
IMPUTED MATCHED	PEOPLE								
Total		624	190	181	419	457	444	554	2869
Number Consiste	nt	492	74	76	218	257	247	337	1701
Proportion Cons	istent	0.79	0.39	0.42	0.52	0.56	0.56	0.61	0.59

Table E-11. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 11

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Medium/MOMB

Return Rate: High Region: South

Age/Sex: 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ 50+ Total Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 4986 1015 1030 3461 3774 3562 4206 22034 Number Consistent 4367 773 778 2849 3113 3039 3605 18524 Proportion Consistent 0.88 0.76 0.76 0.82 0.82 0.85 0.86 0.84 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 4309 842 871 2995 3282 3066 3536 18901 Number Consistent 3897 707 732 2644 2883 2791 3223 16877 Proportion Consistent 0.9 0.84 0.84 0.88 0.88 0.91 0.91 0.89 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 492 Total 677 173 159 466 496 670 3133 Number Consistent 205 230 470 46 248 382 1647 66 Proportion Consistent 0.69 0.38 0.29 0.44 0.47 0.5 0.57 0.53

Table E-12. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 12

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Medium/MOMB

Return Rate: High Region: West

0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ Age/Sex: 50+ Total Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 4522 905 935 2885 2978 2761 3149 18135 Number Consistent 3985 688 732 2461 2520 2397 2733 15516 0.88 0.76 0.78 0.85 0.85 0.87 Proportion Consistent 0.87 0.86 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 2538 763 791 2637 2422 2705 15837 3981 Number Consistent 3639 632 675 2292 2366 2207 2463 14274 0.85 Proportion Consistent 0.91 0.83 0.9 0.9 0.91 0.91 0.9 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 144 2298 Total 541 142 347 341 339 444 Number Consistent 270 1242 346 56 57 169 154 190 Proportion Consistent 0.64 0.39 0.4 0.49 0.45 0.56 0.61 0.54

Table E-13. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 13

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Medium/MOMB

Return Rate: Low Region: North

Region: North								
Age/Sex:	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
		Male	Female	Male	Female	e Male	Female	e
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	250	51	71	187	186	213	276	1234
Number Consistent	224	33	52	153	149	187	245	1043
Proportion Consistent	0.9	0.65	0.73	0.82	0.8	0.88	0.89	0.85
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEO	PLE							
Total	215	43	57	163	158	187	228	1051
Number Consistent	196	30	48	136	135	172	211	928
Proportion Consistent	0.91	0.7	0.84	0.83	0.85	0.92	0.93	0.88
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	35	8	14	24	28	26	48	183
Number Consistent	28	3	4	17	14	15	34	115
Proportion Consistent	0.8	0.38	0.29	0.71	0.5	0.58	0.71	0.63

Table E-14. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 14

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Medium/MOMB

Return Rate: Low Region: Midwe

Region:	Midwest								
Age/Sex:		0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
			Male	Female	e Male	Female	e Male	Female	9
ALL MATCHED	PEOPLE								
Total		558	161	163	415	385	350	448	2480
Number Consi	stent	439	113	112	332	302	292	383	1973
Proportion Co	onsistent	0.79	0.7	0.69	0.8	0.78	0.83	0.85	0.8
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE									
Total		472	131	138	348	334	301	370	2094
Number Consi:	stent	406	103	106	303	285	263	337	1803
Proportion Co	onsistent	0.86	0.79	0.77	0.87	0.85	0.87	0.91	0.86
IMPUTED MATC	HED PEOPLE								
Total		86	30	25	67	51	49	78	386
Number Consi	stent	33	10	6	29	17	29	46	170
Proportion Co	onsistent	0.38	0.33	0.24	0.43	0.33	0.59	0.59	0.44

Table E-15. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 15

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Medium/MOMB

Return Rate: Low Region: Sout

Region: South Age/Sex: 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ 50+ Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 1256 401 394 1041 1024 1006 1303 6425 Number Consistent 275 1003 272 830 800 815 1064 5059 0.8 0.68 0.7 0.8 0.78 Proportion Consistent 0.81 0.82 0.79 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 1092 322 899 886 333 873 1101 5506 Number Consistent 910 245 250 762 740 743 961 4611 Proportion Consistent 0.83 0.74 0.78 0.85 0.84 0.85 0.87 0.84 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 72 Total 68 142 138 164 133 202 919 Number Consistent 25 93 27 68 60 72 103 448 Proportion Consistent 0.57 0.4 0.35 0.48 0.43 0.54 0.51 0.49

Table E-16. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 16

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Medium/MOMB

Return Rate: Low Region: West

Region: West								
Age/Sex:	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
		Male	Female	e Male	Female	e Male	Female	9
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	1025	293	288	752	811	681	790	4640
Number Consistent	801	192	199	586	630	541	631	3580
Proportion Consistent	0.78	0.66	0.69	0.78	0.78	0.79	0.8	0.77
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PI	EOPLE							
Total	865	234	231	649	696	580	661	3916
Number Consistent	711	173	183	545	585	496	570	3263
Proportion Consistent	0.82	0.74	0.79	0.84	0.84	0.86	0.86	0.83
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLI	Ξ							
Total	160	59	57	103	115	101	129	724
Number Consistent	90	19	16	41	45	45	61	317
Proportion Consistent	0.56	0.32	0.28	0.4	0.39	0.45	0.47	0.44

Table E-17. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 17

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Small or Non-MSA/MOMB Return Rate: High

Region: North									
Age/Sex:	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total	
		Male	Female	e Male	Female	Male	Female	е	
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE									
Total	1380	253	268	901	1002	995	1120	5919	
Number Consistent	1265	219	215	784	891	900	1014	5288	
Proportion Consistent	0.92	0.87	0.8	0.87	0.89	0.9	0.91	0.89	
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE									
Total	1254	232	226	825	922	897	989	5345	
Number Consistent	1192	209	195	747	851	843	927	4964	
Proportion Consistent	0.95	0.9	0.86	0.91	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.93	
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE									
Total	126	21	42	76	80	98	131	574	
Number Consistent	73	10	20	37	40	57	87	324	
Proportion Consistent	0.58	0.48	0.48	0.49	0.5	0.58	0.66	0.56	

Table E-18. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 18

Non-Hispanic White Domain:

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Small or Non-MSA/MOMB

Return Rate: High

Region: Midwest									
Age/Sex:	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total	
_		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	2	
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE									
Total	6165	1396	1351	3906	4211	3933	4492	25454	
Number Consistent	5749	1158	1132	3470	3752	3524	4016	22801	
Proportion Consistent	0.93	0.83	0.84	0.89	0.89	0.9	0.89	0.9	
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE									
Total	5543	1206	1189	3502	3776	3458	3895	22569	
Number Consistent	5276	1074	1063	3236	3506	3243	3614	21012	
Proportion Consistent	0.95	0.89	0.89	0.92	0.93	0.94	0.93	0.93	
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE									
Total	622	190	162	404	435	475	597	2885	
Number Consistent	473	84	69	234	246	281	402	1789	
Proportion Consistent	0.76	0.44	0.43	0.58	0.57	0.59	0.67	0.62	

Table E-19. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 19

Non-Hispanic White Domain:

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Small or Non-MSA/MOMB

Return Rate: High Region: South

Age/Sex: 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 3304 729 741 2191 2379 2835 3481 15660 Number Consistent 2930 548 555 1812 1977 2433 3010 13265 Proportion Consistent 0.89 0.75 0.75 0.83 0.83 0.86 0.86 0.85 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 624 641 1915 2066 Total 2916 2435 2952 13549 Number Consistent 527 1685 2655 511 1820 2207 2672 12077 Proportion Consistent 0.91 0.82 0.82 0.88 0.88 0.91 0.91 0.89 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 388 105 100 276 313 400 529 2111 Number Consistent 275 37 28 127 157 226 338 1188

Table E-20. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 20

0.28

0.46

0.5

0.57

0.64

0.56

0.35

0.71

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Tenure: Owner

Proportion Consistent

MSA/TEA: Small or Non-MSA/MOMB

Return Rate: High West

Region: 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ Age/Sex: 0-17 50+ Total Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 2081 436 432 1201 1347 1327 1469 8293 1149 Number Consistent 1843 331 315 987 1141 1273 7039 0.89 0.76 0.73 0.82 0.85 0.87 0.87 Proportion Consistent 0.85 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 1836 366 353 1048 1175 1166 1250 7194 1058 309 291 930 Number Consistent 1688 1059 1143 6478 0.92 0.84 0.82 0.89 0.91 0.91 Proportion Consistent 0.9 0.9 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 245 70 79 153 172 161 219 1099 Number Consistent 155 22 24 57 83 90 130 561 0.37 0.48 0.56 Proportion Consistent 0.63 0.31 0.3 0.59 0.51

Table E-21. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 21

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Small or Non-MSA/MOMB

Return Rate: Low Region: North

0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	9	
343	83	74	268	279	230	285	1562	
292	59	53	222	237	203	250	1316	
0.85	0.71	0.72	0.83	0.85	0.88	0.88	0.84	
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE								
291	69	61	236	247	209	245	1358	
257	55	48	206	218	193	227	1204	
0.88	0.8	0.79	0.87	0.88	0.92	0.93	0.89	
52	14	13	32	32	21	40	204	
35	4	5	16	19	10	23	112	
0.67	0.29	0.38	0.5	0.59	0.48	0.58	0.55	
	343 292 0.85 PLE 291 257 0.88	Male 343 83 292 59 0.85 0.71 PLE 291 69 257 55 0.88 0.8 52 14 35 4	Male Female 343 83 74 292 59 53 0.85 0.71 0.72 PLE 291 69 61 257 55 48 0.88 0.8 0.79 52 14 13 35 4 5	Male Female Male 343 83 74 268 292 59 53 222 0.85 0.71 0.72 0.83 PLE 291 69 61 236 257 55 48 206 0.88 0.8 0.79 0.87 52 14 13 32 35 4 5 16	Male Female Male Female 343 83 74 268 279 292 59 53 222 237 0.85 0.71 0.72 0.83 0.85 PLE 291 69 61 236 247 257 55 48 206 218 0.88 0.8 0.79 0.87 0.88 52 14 13 32 32 35 4 5 16 19	Male Female Male Female Male 343 83 74 268 279 230 292 59 53 222 237 203 0.85 0.71 0.72 0.83 0.85 0.88 PLE 291 69 61 236 247 209 257 55 48 206 218 193 0.88 0.8 0.79 0.87 0.88 0.92 52 14 13 32 32 21 35 4 5 16 19 10	Male Female Male Female Male Female 343 83 74 268 279 230 285 292 59 53 222 237 203 250 0.85 0.71 0.72 0.83 0.85 0.88 0.88 PLE 291 69 61 236 247 209 245 257 55 48 206 218 193 227 0.88 0.8 0.79 0.87 0.88 0.92 0.93 52 14 13 32 32 21 40 35 4 5 16 19 10 23	

Table E-22. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 22

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Small or Non-MSA/MOMB

Return Rate: Low Region: Midwest

Age/Sex:	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total	
		Male	Female	e Male	Female	e Male	Female	2	
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE									
Total	594	154	146	351	397	400	437	2479	
Number Consistent	511	120	109	290	327	347	379	2083	
Proportion Consistent	0.86	0.78	0.75	0.83	0.82	0.87	0.87	0.84	
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE									
Total	508	130	115	302	344	339	376	2114	
Number Consistent	460	108	98	266	304	313	345	1894	
Proportion Consistent	0.91	0.83	0.85	0.88	0.88	0.92	0.92	0.9	
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE									
Total	86	24	31	49	53	61	61	365	
Number Consistent	51	12	11	24	23	34	34	189	
Proportion Consistent	0.59	0.5	0.35	0.49	0.43	0.56	0.56	0.52	

Table E-23. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 23

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Small or Non-MSA/MOMB Return Rate: Low

ACCULII RACC. DOW								
Region: South								
Age/Sex:	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	е
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	1354	415	403	986	1051	1275	1566	7050
Number Consistent	1138	309	291	793	843	1062	1307	5743
Proportion Consistent	0.84	0.74	0.72	0.8	0.8	0.83	0.83	0.81
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEO	PLE							
Total	1179	348	339	850	905	1092	1333	6046
Number Consistent	1029	276	270	726	778	964	1173	5216
Proportion Consistent	0.87	0.79	0.8	0.85	0.86	0.88	0.88	0.86
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	175	67	64	136	146	183	233	1004
Number Consistent	109	33	21	67	65	98	134	527
Proportion Consistent	0.62	0.49	0.33	0.49	0.45	0.54	0.58	0.52

Table E-24. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 24

Non-Hispanic White Domain:

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Small or Non-MSA/MOMB

Return Rate: Low

Region: West								
Age/Sex:	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
-		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	=
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	1041	226	221	646	661	799	873	4467
Number Consistent	871	168	167	506	536	656	722	3626
Proportion Consistent	0.84	0.74	0.76	0.78	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.81
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEO	PLE							
Total	904	190	178	553	575	665	728	3793
Number Consistent	802	159	148	471	502	588	644	3314
Proportion Consistent	0.89	0.84	0.83	0.85	0.87	0.88	0.88	0.87
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	137	36	43	93	86	134	145	674
Number Consistent	69	9	19	35	34	68	78	312
Proportion Consistent	0.5	0.25	0.44	0.38	0.4	0.51	0.54	0.46

Table E-25. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 25

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Owner Tenure: Other TEAs MSA/TEA:

Return Rate: High

MCCULII MUCC.	*** 5***								
Region:	North								
Age/Sex:		0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	9
ALL MATCHED P	EOPLE								
Total		1912	438	398	1369	1422	1500	1606	8645
Number Consist	tent	1780	357	320	1202	1243	1340	1411	7653
Proportion Con	nsistent	0.93	0.82	0.8	0.88	0.87	0.89	0.88	0.89
NON-IMPUTED M	ATCHED PEOI	PLE							
Total		1661	364	336	1198	1234	1288	1349	7430
Number Consist	tent	1579	323	294	1100	1146	1197	1239	6878
Proportion Con	nsistent	0.95	0.89	0.88	0.92	0.93	0.93	0.92	0.93
IMPUTED MATCH	ED PEOPLE								
Total		251	74	62	171	188	212	257	1215
Number Consist	tent	201	34	26	102	97	143	172	775
Proportion Con	nsistent	0.8	0.46	0.42	0.6	0.52	0.67	0.67	0.64

Table E-26. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 26

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Tenure: Owner MSA/TEA: Other TEAs Return Rate: High

Region: Midwest Age/Sex: 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ 50+ Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 3720 802 714 2289 2327 2508 2595 14955 Number Consistent 3440 682 602 2011 2061 2242 2292 13330 Proportion Consistent 0.92 0.85 0.84 0.88 0.89 0.89 0.88 0.89 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 697 619 2041 2069 2166 3287 2235 13114 Number Consistent 556 623 1874 1914 2027 3112 2063 12169 0.95 0.89 0.9 0.92 0.93 0.94 0.92 0.93 Proportion Consistent IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 433 105 95 248 258 342 360 1841 59 Number Consistent 46 137 147 229 1161 328 215 Proportion Consistent 0.76 0.56 0.48 0.55 0.57 0.63 0.64 0.63

Table E-27. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 27

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Tenure: Owner MSA/TEA: Other TEAs

Return Rate: High Region: South

Region:	South								
Age/Sex:		0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
_			Male	Female	e Male	Female	Male	Female	e
ALL MATCHED I	PEOPLE								
Total		2037	455	430	1356	1451	1619	1741	9089
Number Consis	stent	1814	369	352	1092	1204	1395	1503	7729
Proportion Co	onsistent	0.89	0.81	0.82	0.81	0.83	0.86	0.86	0.85
NON-IMPUTED N	MATCHED PEO	DT E							
	MAICHED PEO								
Total		1764	396	366	1135	1228	1368	1457	7714
Number Consis	stent	1610	342	323	981	1081	1252	1332	6921
Proportion Co	onsistent	0.91	0.86	0.88	0.86	0.88	0.92	0.91	0.9
IMPUTED MATCH	FD DEODI.E								
Total	IDD I DOLLD	273	59	64	221	223	251	284	1375
Number Consis		204	27	29	111	123	143	171	808
Proportion Co	onsistent	0.75	0.46	0.45	0.5	0.55	0.57	0.6	0.59

Table E-28. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 28

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Other TEAs

Return Rate: High Region: West

Region:	West								
Age/Sex:		0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
_			Male	Female	e Male	Female	Male	Female	e
ALL MATCHED PE	OPLE								
Total		1681	285	281	1116	1249	1264	1301	7177
Number Consist	ent	1495	215	223	931	1051	1094	1116	6125
Proportion Con	sistent	0.89	0.75	0.79	0.83	0.84	0.87	0.86	0.85
NON-IMPUTED MA	TCHED PEO	PLE							
Total		1461	229	237	969	1079	1090	1105	6170
Number Consist	ent	1325	192	196	861	972	996	999	5541
Proportion Con	sistent	0.91	0.84	0.83	0.89	0.9	0.91	0.9	0.9
IMPUTED MATCHE	D PEOPLE								
Total		220	56	44	147	170	174	196	1007
Number Consist	ent	170	23	27	70	79	98	117	584
Proportion Con	sistent	0.77	0.41	0.61	0.48	0.46	0.56	0.6	0.58

Table E-29. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 29

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Other TEAs

Return Rate: Low								
Region: North								
Age/Sex:	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
_		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	2
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	1019	171	156	636	692	585	632	3891
Number Consistent	899	143	134	536	603	478	544	3337
Proportion Consistent	0.88	0.84	0.86	0.84	0.87	0.82	0.86	0.86
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEC	PLE							
Total	871	145	134	553	613	490	532	3338
Number Consistent	794	132	121	493	558	425	475	2998
Proportion Consistent	0.91	0.91	0.9	0.89	0.91	0.87	0.89	0.9
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	148	26	22	83	79	95	100	553
Number Consistent	105	11	13	43	45	53	69	339
Proportion Consistent	0.71	0.42	0.59	0.52	0.57	0.56	0.69	0.61

Table E-30. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 30

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Tenure: Owner MSA/TEA: Other TEAs

Return Rate: Low

Region:	Midwest								
Age/Sex:		0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
			Male	Female	e Male	Female	e Male	Female	9
ALL MATCHED I	PEOPLE								
Total		504	116	129	305	343	334	361	2092
Number Consis	stent	462	95	113	257	303	291	321	1842
Proportion Co	nsistent	0.92	0.82	0.88	0.84	0.88	0.87	0.89	0.88
NON-IMPUTED N	MATCHED PEO	PLE							
Total		441	101	107	258	299	277	306	1789
Number Consis	stent	415	86	99	235	275	253	282	1645
Proportion Co	onsistent	0.94	0.85	0.93	0.91	0.92	0.91	0.92	0.92
IMPUTED MATCH	ED PEOPLE								
Total		63	15	22	47	44	57	55	303
Number Consis	stent	47	9	14	22	28	38	39	197
Proportion Co	nsistent	0.75	0.6	0.64	0.47	0.64	0.67	0.71	0.65

Table E-31. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 31

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Tenure: Owner
MSA/TEA: Other TEAs
Return Rate: Low
Region: South

South Region: 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ 50+ Total Age/Sex: Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE 1227 3379 3578 3678 4086 22210 5029 1233 Total 2723 2904 3071 3443 18295 4299 943 Number Consistent 912 0.84 0.82 0.81 0.81 0.83 Proportion Consistent 0.85 0.74 0.77 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 1037 2876 3029 3074 3391 18784 4334 1043 Total 3017 16409 2485 2638 2724 Number Consistent 870 3830 845 0.88 0.81 0.84 0.86 0.87 0.89 0.89 0.87 Proportion Consistent IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 549 604 695 3426 695 190 190 503 Total 347 426 1886 238 266 Number Consistent 469 67 73 0.57 0.61 0.55 0.47 0.48 0.67 0.35 0.38 Proportion Consistent

Table E-32. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 32

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Tenure: Owner MSA/TEA: Other TEAs

Return Rate: Low Region: West

Region: 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ 50+ Total Age/Sex: Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE 891 975 1089 5898 Total 1331 253 229 1130 4809 1095 176 163 696 794 962 923 Number Consistent 0.85 0.82 0.82 0.7 0.71 0.78 0.81 0.85 Proportion Consistent NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 826 887 4897 199 194 739 934 Total 1118 800 4283 156 152 628 722 848 Number Consistent 977 0.9 0.87 0.87 0.78 0.78 0.85 0.87 0.91 Proportion Consistent IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 202 1001 35 152 149 196 54 213 Total 526 68 114 123 20 11 72 118 Number Consistent 0.55 0.37 0.31 0.45 0.48 0.58 0.61 0.53 Proportion Consistent

Table E-33. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 33

Non-Hispanic White Domain:

Non-Owner Tenure: MSA/TEA: Large/MOMB Return Rate: High

Region: Not Used 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ Age/Sex: 50+ Total Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 3399 1994 2060 3250 3075 1713 2298 17789 Number Consistent 2267 2308 1417 1514 2154 1148 1640 12448 Proportion Consistent 0.68 0.71 0.73 0.7 0.7 0.67 0.71 0.7 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 2864 1655 1734 2712 2561 1373 1791 14690 Number Consistent 2094 1297 1394 2088 1967 1036 1415 11291 Proportion Consistent 0.73 0.78 0.8 0.77 0.77 0.75 0.79 0.77 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 535 339 326 538 514 340 507 3099 Number Consistent 214 120 120 179 187 112 225 1157 Proportion Consistent 0.4 0.35 0.37 0.33 0.36 0.33 0.44

Table E-34. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 34

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Tenure: Non-Owner MSA/TEA: Large/MOMB

Return Rate: Low

Region: Not Used Age/Sex: 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 1506 1020 1019 1488 1332 761 965 8091 Number Consistent 781 658 706 964 820 480 629 5038 Proportion Consistent 0.52 0.65 0.69 0.65 0.62 0.63 0.65 0.62 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 1175 800 832 1222 1072 590 726 6417 Number Consistent 696 585 634 878 725 420 539 4477 Proportion Consistent 0.59 0.73 0.76 0.72 0.68 0.71 0.74 0.7 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 220 Total 331 187 266 260 171 239 1674 Number Consistent 85 73 72 86 95 90 561 60 Proportion Consistent 0.26 0.33 0.39 0.32 0.37

0.35 0.38

0.34

Table E-35. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 35

Non-Hispanic White Domain:

Non-Owner Tenure: MSA/TEA: Medium/MOMB

Return Rate: High Region:

Not Used Age/Sex: 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ 50+ Total Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 4561 2640 2788 3638 3475 1801 2616 21519 Number Consistent 3423 1959 2178 2634 2514 1206 1946 15860 Proportion Consistent 0.75 0.74 0.78 0.72 0.72 0.67 0.74 0.74 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 3876 2240 2417 3059 2925 1451 2103 18071 Number Consistent 3075 1803 2015 2425 2319 1085 1721 14443 Proportion Consistent 0.79 0.8 0.83 0.79 0.79 0.75 0.82 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 685 400 371 579 550 350 513 3448 Number Consistent 348 156 163 209 195 121 225 1417 0.51 Proportion Consistent 0.39 0.44 0.36 0.35 0.35 0.44 0.41

Table E-36. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 36

Non-Hispanic White Domain:

Tenure: Non-Owner Medium/MOMB MSA/TEA:

Return Rate: Low

Not Used Region: 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ Age/Sex: 0-17 50+ Total Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 1337 1073 1112 1062 995 520 593 6692 Number Consistent 771 768 800 694 632 317 398 4380 0.65 Proportion Consistent 0.58 0.72 0.72 0.64 0.61 0.67 0.65 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 1082 850 893 833 790 394 443 5285 284 Number Consistent 699 676 711 609 570 339 3888 0.65 0.8 0.8 0.73 0.72 0.72 0.77 0.74 Proportion Consistent IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 255 223 219 229 205 126 150 1407 Number Consistent 59 72 92 89 85 62 33 492 Proportion Consistent 0.28 0.41 0.41 0.37 0.3 0.39 0.35 0.26

Table E-37. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 37

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Non-Owner Tenure:

MSA/TEA: Small or Non-MSA/MOMB

Return Rate: High Region: Not Used

0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ Age/Sex: 50+ Total Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE

Total 4405 2609 2868 2747 2771 1517 2494 19411 Number Consistent 3417 2013 2297 1996 2071 1014 1865 14673 Proportion Consistent 0.78 0.77 0.8 0.73 0.75 0.67 0.75 0.76

NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total

1998 16374 3821 2202 2467 2313 2372 1201 Number Consistent 3112 1817 2097 1851 1923 927 1615 13342 Proportion Consistent 0.81 0.83 0.85 0.8 0.81 0.77 0.81 0.81

IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE

Total 584 407 401 434 399 496 316 3037 305 Number Consistent 196 200 145 148 87 250 1331 0.48 Proportion Consistent 0.52 0.5 0.33 0.37 0.28 0.5 0.44

Table E-38. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 38

Non-Hispanic White Domain:

Tenure: Non-Owner

MSA/TEA: Small or Non-MSA/MOMB

Return Rate: Low

Proportion Consistent

Not Used Region: 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ 50+ Total Age/Sex: Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 1222 799 826 820 814 437 555 5473 580 Number Consistent 949 625 667 578 281 396 4076 0.78 0.78 0.81 Proportion Consistent 0.71 0.71 0.64 0.71 0.74 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 1057 646 705 680 673 345 421 4527 Number Consistent 859 552 612 526 532 256 338 3675 0.78 0.78 Proportion Consistent 0.81 0.85 0.87 0.74 0.8 0.81 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 165 153 121 147 134 134 946 92 Number Consistent 73 55 401 90 54 46 25 58 0.55 0.48 0.45 0.34

0.37

0.27

0.43

0.42

0.36

Table E-39. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 39

Non-Hispanic White Domain:

Tenure: Non-Owner MSA/TEA: Other TEAs Return Rate: High

Region: Not Used Age/Sex: 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ 50+ Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 3109 1080 1123 1816 1773 1062 1447 11410 Number Consistent 2302 767 821 1215 1241 651 977 7974 Proportion Consistent 0.74 0.71 0.73 0.67 0.7 0.61 0.68 0.7 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 2664 921 962 1513 1483 817 1129 9489 Number Consistent 2106 720 759 1132 1143 580 841 7281 Proportion Consistent 0.79 0.78 0.79 0.75 0.77 0.71 0.74 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 445 159 161 303 290 245 318 1921 Number Consistent 196 47 62 83 98 71 136 693 Proportion Consistent 0.44 0.3 0.39 0.27 0.34 0.29 0.43

Table E-40. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 40

Domain: Non-Hispanic White

Non-Owner Tenure: MSA/TEA: Other TEAs

Return Rate: Low

Region: Not Used

18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ 50+ Age/Sex: 0-17 Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE 559 605 865 888 608 Total 1565 511 5601 402 555 558 Number Consistent 1012 357 261 361 3506 Proportion Consistent 0.65 0.64 0.66 0.64 0.63 0.51 0.59 0.63 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 499 699 704 Total 1272 463 359 448 4444 377 Number Consistent 902 333 506 506 222 312 3158 Proportion Consistent 0.71 0.72 0.76 0.72 0.72 0.62 0.7 0.71 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 166 Total 293 96 106 184 152 160 1157 Number Consistent 25 49 52 39 49 348 110 24 0.38 0.25 0.24 Proportion Consistent 0.3 0.28 0.26 0.31 0.3

Table E-41. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 41

Non-Hispanic Black Domain:

Tenure: Owner

Large or Medium/MOMB MSA/TEA:

Return Rate: High

Region:	Not Used								
Age/Sex:		0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
_			Male	Female	e Male	Female	e Male	Female	9
ALL MATCHED P	EOPLE								
Total		5777	1312	1298	2931	3642	2513	3374	20847
Number Consis	tent	4351	910	860	2139	2647	1892	2570	15369
Proportion Co	nsistent	0.75	0.69	0.66	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.76	0.74
NON-IMPUTED M	ATCHED PEO	PLE							
Total		4590	999	984	2314	2900	1911	2479	16177
Number Consis	tent	3704	775	758	1871	2327	1578	2076	13089
Proportion Co	nsistent	0.81	0.78	0.77	0.81	0.8	0.83	0.84	0.81
IMPUTED MATCH	ED PEOPLE								
Total		1187	313	314	617	742	602	895	4670
Number Consis		647	135	102	268	320	314	494	2280
Proportion Co	nsistent	0.55	0.43	0.32	0.43	0.43	0.52	0.55	0.49

Table E-42. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 42

Non-Hispanic Black Domain:

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA:
Return Rate: Low
Not Used Large or Medium/MOMB

Age/Sex:	0-17	18-29 Male			30-49 Female		50+ Female	Total	
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE									
Total	1922	485	533	948	1196	1025	1570	7679	
Number Consistent	1307	280	298	602	747	699	1135	5068	
Proportion Consistent	0.68	0.58	0.56	0.64	0.62	0.68	0.72	0.66	
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE									
Total	1470	341	385	694	907	739	1134	5670	
Number Consistent	1094	237	255	497	642	565	909	4199	
Proportion Consistent	0.74	0.7	0.66	0.72	0.71	0.76	0.8	0.74	
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE									
Total	452	144	148	254	289	286	436	2009	
Number Consistent	213	43	43	105	105	134	226	869	
Proportion Consistent	0.47	0.3	0.29	0.41	0.36	0.47	0.52	0.43	

Table E-43. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 43

Domain: Non-Hispanic Black

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Small or Non-MSA/MOMB or Other TEAs

Return Rate: High Region: Not Use

Region: Not Used										
Age/Sex:	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total		
_		Male	Female	Male	Female	e Male	Female	e		
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE										
Total	2546	544	591	1244	1525	1128	1561	9139		
Number Consistent	1935	378	396	908	1104	835	1186	6742		
Proportion Consistent	0.76	0.69	0.67	0.73	0.72	0.74	0.76	0.74		
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE										
Total	2044	414	463	978	1189	849	1164	7101		
Number Consistent	1645	316	342	776	939	683	948	5649		
Proportion Consistent	0.8	0.76	0.74	0.79	0.79	0.8	0.81	0.8		
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE										
Total	502	130	128	266	336	279	397	2038		
Number Consistent	290	62	54	132	165	152	238	1093		
Proportion Consistent	0.58	0.48	0.42	0.5	0.49	0.54	0.6	0.54		

Table E-44. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 44

Domain: Non-Hispanic Black

Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Small or Non-MSA/MOMB or Other TEAs

Return Rate: Low
Region: Not Used

Region:	Not Used								
Age/Sex:		0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
			Male	Female	e Male	Female	Male	Female	e
ALL MATCHED P	EOPLE								
Total		1103	241	220	478	608	460	633	3743
Number Consis	tent	861	167	150	339	452	315	468	2752
Proportion Co	nsistent	0.78	0.69	0.68	0.71	0.74	0.68	0.74	0.74
NON-IMPUTED M	ATCHED PEO	PLE							
Total		859	188	165	356	461	335	454	2818
Number Consis	tent	693	141	122	279	369	255	368	2227
Proportion Co	nsistent	0.81	0.75	0.74	0.78	0.8	0.76	0.81	0.79
IMPUTED MATCH	ED PEOPLE								
Total		244	53	55	122	147	125	179	925
Number Consis	tent	168	26	28	60	83	60	100	525
Proportion Co	nsistent	0.69	0.49	0.51	0.49	0.56	0.48	0.56	0.57

Table E-45. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 45

Domain: Non-Hispanic Black

Tenure: Non-Owner

MSA/TEA: Large or Medium/MOMB

Return Rate: High Region: Not Used

Age/Sex: 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ 50+ Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 6646 1519 2211 2333 3438 1208 Number Consistent 5145 992 1560 1547 2406 1314 13715 751 Proportion Consistent 0.77 0.65 0.71 0.66 0.68 0.71 0.7 0.62 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 5243 1171 1748 1789 2621 826 1320 14718 Number Consistent 1376 4293 888 1340 2052 600 1016 11565 Proportion Consistent 0.82 0.76 0.79 0.75 0.78 0.73 0.77 0.79 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 1403 348 463 817 Total 544 382 623 4580 Number Consistent 852 104 184 207 354 151 298 2150

Table E-46. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 46

0.4 0.38

0.43

0.4

0.48 0.47

0.3

0.61

Domain: Non-Hispanic Black

Non-Owner Tenure:

Proportion Consistent

MSA/TEA: Large or Medium/MOMB

Return Rate: Low

Region: Not Used

0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ Age/Sex: 50+ Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 3608 765 1245 1169 1745 639 982 10153 Number Consistent 2896 481 905 769 1204 382 669 7306 0.8 0.63 0.73 0.66 0.69 0.68 0.72 Proportion Consistent 0.6 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 2806 558 953 402 7509 Total 827 1295 668 Number Consistent 2360 410 782 627 980 294 516 5969 Proportion Consistent 0.84 0.73 0.82 0.76 0.76 0.73 0.77 0.79 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 802 207 450 2644 Total 292 342 237 314 Number Consistent 1337 536 71 123 142 224 153 88 0.37 0.49 0.51 Proportion Consistent 0.67 0.34 0.42 0.42 0.5

Table E-47. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 47

Non-Hispanic Black Domain:

Tenure: Non-Owner

Small or Non-MSA/MOMB or Other TEAs MSA/TEA:

Return Rate: High Region: Not Used

0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ 50+ Total Age/Sex:

Age/sex:	0-1/	10-23	10-23	30-43	30-43	50+	50+	IOCAL		
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	<u> </u>		
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE										
Total	2916	629	932	888	1271	400	601	7637		
Number Consistent	2212	402	693	584	865	209	337	5302		
Proportion Consistent	0.76	0.64	0.74	0.66	0.68	0.52	0.56	0.69		
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE										
Total	2357	496	768	682	977	283	412	5975		
Number Consistent	1885	363	618	508	736	172	260	4542		
Proportion Consistent	0.8	0.73	0.8	0.74	0.75	0.61	0.63	0.76		
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE										
Total	559	133	164	206	294	117	189	1662		
Number Consistent	327	39	75	76	129	37	77	760		

Table E-48. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 48

Proportion Consistent 0.58 0.29 0.46 0.37 0.44 0.32 0.41 0.46

Non-Hispanic Black Domain:

Tenure: Non-Owner

MSA/TEA: Small or Non-MSA/MOMB or Other TEAs

Return Rate: Low

Region: Not Use	ed							
Age/Sex:	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
		Male	Female	e Male	Female	e Male	Female	=
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	476	86	168	141	202	94	142	1309
Number Consistent	355	47	137	84	125	60	99	907
Proportion Consistent	t 0.75	0.55	0.82	0.6	0.62	0.64	0.7	0.69
_								
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED	PEOPLE							
Total	405	61	140	108	150	63	96	1023
Number Consistent	314	39	122	72	101	48	79	775
Proportion Consistent	0.78	0.64	0.87	0.67	0.67	0.76	0.82	0.76
-								
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOP	LE							
Total	71	25	28	33	52	31	46	286
Number Consistent	41	8	15	12	24	12	20	132
Proportion Consisten	t 0.58	0.32	0.54	0.36	0.46	0.39	0.43	0.46

Table E-49. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 49

Domain: Hispanic Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Large or Medium/MOMB

Return Rate: High Region: Not U

Not Used 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ Age/Sex: 50+ Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 7891 1984 1998 3608 3854 1962 2392 23689 Number Consistent 5590 1283 1324 2548 2759 1337 1636 16477 Proportion Consistent 0.71 0.65 0.66 0.71 0.72 0.68 0.68 0.7 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 5397 1298 1342 2491 2676 1408 1691 16303 Number Consistent 3970 937 969 1908 2060 1081 1265 12190 Proportion Consistent 0.74 0.72 0.72 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.75 0.75 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 2494 686 656 1117 1178 554 701 7386 Number Consistent 1620 346 355 4287 640 699 256 371 0.5 Proportion Consistent 0.65 0.54 0.57 0.59 0.46 0.53 0.58

Table E-50. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 50

Domain: Hispanic Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Large or Medium/MOMB

Return Rate: Low

Not Used Region: 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ 50+ Total Age/Sex: Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 2300 643 591 984 1027 512 623 6680 398 651. 4457 Number Consistent 1601 349 690 335 433 0.66 0.67 0.65 0.67 Proportion Consistent 0.7 0.62 0.59 0.7 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 1542 402 371 639 690 424 4417 349 Number Consistent 1150 278 239 470 511 259 324 3231 0.74 0.75 0.69 0.64 0.74 0.76 0.73 Proportion Consistent 0.74 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 758 241 220 345 337 163 199 2263 Total Number Consistent 120 110 181 179 76 109 1226 451 Proportion Consistent 0.59 0.5 0.5 0.52 0.53 0.47 0.55 0.54

Table E-51. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 51

Domain: Hispanic Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Small or Non-MSA/MOMB or Other TEAs

Return Rate: High
Region: Not Used

0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ Age/Sex: 50+ Total Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 2862 601 1085 1157 781 7787 586 715 Number Consistent 1996 397 373 750 818 487 523 5344 Proportion Consistent 0.7 0.64 0.66 0.69 0.71 0.68 0.67 0.69 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 2061 391 400 739 814 505 525 5435 Number Consistent 1514 270 298 566 619 377 388 4032 Proportion Consistent 0.73 0.69 0.75 0.77 0.76 0.75 0.74 0.74 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 801 Total 195 201 346 343 210 256 2352 Number Consistent 482 103 99 184 199 110 135 1312 Proportion Consistent 0.6 0.53 0.49 0.53 0.58 0.52 0.53 0.56

Table E-52. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 52

Domain: Hispanic Tenure: Owner

MSA/TEA: Small or Non-MSA/MOMB or Other TEAs

Return Rate: Low

Region: Not Used Age/Sex: 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 1428 357 322 535 576 405 454 4077 Number Consistent 1030 232 210 372 407 297 2870 322 0.73 Proportion Consistent 0.72 0.65 0.65 0.7 0.71 0.71 0.7 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 972 232 223 360 394 292 331 2804 Number Consistent 754 171 166 278 297 232 253 2151 Proportion Consistent 0.78 0.74 0.74 0.77 0.75 0.79 0.76 0.77 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 456 125 99 175 182 113 123 1273 Number Consistent 276 44 94 110 65 69 719 61 Proportion Consistent 0.61 0.49 0.44 0.54 0.6 0.58 0.56 0.56

Table E-53. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 53

Domain: Hispanic Tenure: Non-Owner

MSA/TEA: Large or Medium/MOMB

Return Rate: High Region: Not Used

Not Used 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ Age/Sex: 50+ Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 9464 3249 3116 3751 3811 1173 1543 26107 Number Consistent 7336 2267 2244 2617 2737 748 1072 19021 Proportion Consistent 0.78 0.7 0.72 0.7 0.72 0.64 0.69 0.73 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 6598 2207 2203 2604 2689 770 1027 18098 Number Consistent 5327 1693 1714 2003 2069 573 801 14180 Proportion Consistent 0.81 0.77 0.78 0.77 0.77 0.74 0.78 0.78 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 2866 1042 Total 913 1147 1122 403 516 8009 Number Consistent 2009 574 530 614 668 175 271 4841 0.7 0.55 0.58 0.54 Proportion Consistent 0.6 0.43 0.53 0.6

Table E-54. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 54

Domain: Hispanic Tenure: Non-Owner

MSA/TEA: Large or Medium/MOMB

Return Rate: Low
Region: Not Used

Not Used 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ 50+ Age/Sex: Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 3567 1407 1230 1541 1413 504 569 10231 864 1041 1011 Number Consistent 2754 940 372 7293 311 0.7 0.68 0.72 0.62 0.65 0.71 0.77 0.67 Proportion Consistent NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 2314 912 836 1004 931 314 380 6691 Total Number Consistent 1898 694 643 771 731 236 294 5267 0.82 0.76 0.77 0.77 0.79 0.75 0.77 0.79 Proportion Consistent IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 1253 495 394 537 482 190 189 3540 78 Number Consistent 856 246 221 270 280 75 2026 Proportion Consistent 0.68 0.5 0.56 0.5 0.58 0.39 0.41 0.57

Table E-55. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 55

Domain: Hispanic Tenure: Non-Owner

MSA/TEA: Small or Non-MSA/MOMB or Other TEAs

Return Rate: High Region: Not Us

Not Used 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ Age/Sex: Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 2383 851 725 798 721 280 5999 241 Number Consistent 1593 525 481 447 409 147 3721 119 Proportion Consistent 0.67 0.62 0.66 0.56 0.57 0.49 0.53 0.62 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 1678 589 505 516 474 143 187 4092 Number Consistent 1200 409 370 336 303 80 117 2815 0.64 Proportion Consistent 0.72 0.69 0.73 0.65 0.56 0.63 0.69 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 705 262 220 282 247 98 93 1907 Number Consistent 393 116 111 111 106 39 30 906 Proportion Consistent 0.56 0.44 0.5 0.39 0.43 0.4 0.48 0.32

Table E-56. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 56

Domain: Hispanic Tenure: Non-Owner

MSA/TEA: Small or Non-MSA/MOMB or Other TEAs

Return Rate: Low

Not Used Region: 0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ Age/Sex: 50+ Total Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE Total 662 259 237 100 255 239 84 1836 Number Consistent 1252 495 175 151 171 154 53 53 Proportion Consistent 0.75 0.69 0.63 0.66 0.65 0.53 0.63 0.68 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 475 Total 164 176 169 68 1283 183 48 Number Consistent 379 128 959 137 117 118 43 37 0.75 0.71 0.73 0.7 0.63 0.77 0.75 Proportion Consistent 0.8 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE 187 72 75 83 68 32 Total 36 553 Number Consistent 116 38 34 43 36 10 16 293 Proportion Consistent 0.62 0.53 0.45 0.52 0.53 0.31 0.44 0.53

Table E-57. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 57

Domain: Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander

de no

Tenure: Owner
MSA/TEA: Not Used
Return Rate: Not Used
Region: Not Used

0-17 18-29 18-29 30-49 30-49 50+ 50+ Age/Sex: Total Male Female Male Female Male Female ALL MATCHED PEOPLE 104 115 222 207 170 Total 421 189 1428 Number Consistent 246 48 62 118 100 92 103 769 Proportion Consistent 0.58 0.46 0.54 0.53 0.48 0.54 0.54 0.54 NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 325 85 90 181 168 139 156 1144 201 Number Consistent 46 57 106 93 86 96 685 Proportion Consistent 0.62 0.54 0.63 0.59 0.55 0.62 0.62 0.6 IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE Total 96 19 25 41 33 284 39 31 Number Consistent 45 2 5 12 7 7 84 6 Proportion Consistent 0.47 0.11 0.2 0.29 0.18 0.19 0.21 0.3

Table E-58. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 58

Domain: Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander

Tenure: Non-Owner MSA/TEA: Not Used Return Rate: Not Used Region: Not Used

Return Rate:	Not Used								
Region:	Not Used								
Age/Sex:		0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	•
ALL MATCHED P	EOPLE								
Total		398	135	154	182	195	82	88	1234
Number Consist	tent	277	72	74	102	98	47	49	719
Proportion Con	nsistent	0.7	0.53	0.48	0.56	0.5	0.57	0.56	0.58
NON-IMPUTED M	ATCHED PEO	PLE							
Total		335	108	118	137	150	58	64	970
Number Consist	tent	247	65	66	85	87	41	37	628
Proportion Con	nsistent	0.74	0.6	0.56	0.62	0.58	0.71	0.58	0.65
IMPUTED MATCH	ED PEOPLE								
Total		63	27	36	45	45	24	24	264
Number Consist	tent	30	7	8	17	11	6	12	91
Proportion Consistent		0.48	0.26	0.22	0.38	0.24	0.25	0.5	0.34

Table E-59. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 59

Domain: Non-Hispanic Asian

Tenure: Owner MSA/TEA: Not Used Return Rate: Not Used

Return Rate: No	t Used							
Region: No	t Used							
Age/Sex:	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
		Male	Female	e Male	Female	Male	Female	9
ALL MATCHED PEOP	LE							
Total	3853	1071	1120	2632	3072	2056	2520	16324
Number Consisten	it 2782	656	677	1791	2182	1405	1813	11306
Proportion Consi	stent 0.72	0.61	0.6	0.68	0.71	0.68	0.72	0.69
NON-IMPUTED MATC	HED PEOPLE							
Total	3045	803	855	2122	2471	1617	1957	12870
Number Consisten	t 2327	564	587	1600	1931	1228	1550	9787
Proportion Consi	stent 0.76	0.7	0.69	0.75	0.78	0.76	0.79	0.76
IMPUTED MATCHED	PEOPLE							
Total	808	268	265	510	601	439	563	3454
Number Consisten	t 455	92	90	191	251	177	263	1519
Proportion Consi	stent 0.56	0.34	0.34	0.37	0.42	0.4	0.47	0.44

Table E-60. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 60

Domain: Non-Hispanic Asian Tenure: Non-Owner MSA/TEA: Not Used Return Rate: Not Used
Pagion: Not Used

Region: Not us	sea							
Age/Sex:	0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
_		Male	Female	e Male	Female	e Male	Female	е
ALL MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	2121	1160	1254	1721	1718	823	986	9783
Number Consistent	1379	727	763	1088	1082	501	575	6115
Proportion Consister	nt 0.65	0.63	0.61	0.63	0.63	0.61	0.58	0.63
NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	1645	914	977	1349	1344	604	698	7531
Number Consistent	1141	629	681	953	952	420	472	5248
Proportion Consister	nt 0.69	0.69	0.7	0.71	0.71	0.7	0.68	0.7
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total	476	246	277	372	374	219	288	2252
Number Consistent	238	98	82	135	130	81	103	867
Proportion Consister	nt 0.5	0.4	0.3	0.36	0.35	0.37	0.36	0.38

Table E-61. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 61

Domain: Tenure: MSA/TEA: Return Rate: Region:	American Owner Not Used Not Used Not Used	Indian	or Ala	aska Na	ative (on rese	ervatio	on	
Age/Sex:		0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
_			Male	Female	e Male	Female	e Male	Female	=
ALL MATCHED P	EOPLE								
Total		3340	678	666	1098	1233	758	946	8719
Number Consistent		2503	466	449	776	862	551	723	6330
Proportion Con	nsistent	0.75	0.69	0.67	0.71	0.7	0.73	0.76	0.73
NON-IMPUTED M	ATCHED PEO	PLE							
Total		2862	536	527	910	1002	603	761	7201
Number Consis	tent	2219	411	398	689	760	478	620	5575
Proportion Co	nsistent	0.78	0.77	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.79	0.81	0.77
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE									
Total		478	142	139	188	231	155	185	1518
Number Consis	tent	284	55	51	87	102	73	103	755
Proportion Con	nsistent	0.59	0.39	0.37	0.46	0.44	0.47	0.56	0.5

Table E-62. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 62

Domain: Tenure: MSA/TEA: Return Rate: Region:	American Non-Owner Not Used Not Used Not Used		or Ala	aska Na	ative o	on rese	ervatio	on	
Age/Sex:		0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
5 ,			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	2
ALL MATCHED P	EOPLE								
Total		2099	355	476	571	649	229	266	4645
Number Consistent		1311	199	298	286	346	93	117	2650
Proportion Co	nsistent	0.62	0.56	0.63	0.5	0.53	0.41	0.44	0.57
NON-IMPUTED M	ATCHED PEO	PLE							
Total		1793	285	388	448	512	170	204	3800
Number Consis	tent	1181	175	273	246	305	81	106	2367
Proportion Co	nsistent	0.66	0.61	0.7	0.55	0.6	0.48	0.52	0.62
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE									
Total		306	70	88	123	137	59	62	845
Number Consist	tent	130	24	25	40	41	12	11	283
Proportion Con	nsistent	0.42	0.34	0.28	0.33	0.3	0.2	0.18	0.33

Table E-63. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 63

Domain: Tenure: MSA/TEA: Return Rate: Region:	American Owner Not Used Not Used Not Used	Indian	or Ala	aska Na	ative (off res	servat:	ion	
Age/Sex:		0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
-			Male	Female	e Male	Female	Male	Femal	е
ALL MATCHED P	EOPLE								
Total		775	187	180	426	453	344	390	2755
Number Consistent		329	74	74	169	188	131	160	1125
Proportion Co	nsistent	0.42	0.4	0.41	0.4	0.42	0.38	0.41	0.41
NON-IMPUTED M	NON-IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total		604	157	147	353	365	268	278	2172
Number Consis	tent	281	66	66	153	164	111	131	972
Proportion Co	nsistent	0.47	0.42	0.45	0.43	0.45	0.41	0.47	0.45
IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE									
Total		171	30	33	73	88	76	112	583
Number Consis	tent	48	8	8	16	24	20	29	153
Proportion Co	nsistent	0.28	0.27	0.24	0.22	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.26

Table E-64. Consistency of P and E Samples for Major Post-Stratum Group 64

Domain: Tenure: MSA/TEA: Return Rate: Region:	American Non-Owner Not Used Not Used Not Used	Indian	or Ala	aska Na	itive (off res	servati	ion	
Age/Sex:		0-17	18-29	18-29	30-49	30-49	50+	50+	Total
.			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	.
ALL MATCHED P	EOPLE								
Total		660	181	222	260	340	136	155	1954
Number Consistent		295	74	102	106	143	43	68	831
Proportion Co	nsistent	0.45	0.41	0.46	0.41	0.42	0.32	0.44	0.43
NON-IMPUTED M	ATCHED PEO	PLE							
Total		521	155	188	204	270	96	117	1551
Number Consis	tent	258	72	100	96	121	37	55	739
Proportion Co	nsistent	0.5	0.46	0.53	0.47	0.45	0.39	0.47	0.48
IMPUTED MATCH	IMPUTED MATCHED PEOPLE								
Total		139	26	34	56	70	40	38	403
Number Consis	tent	37	2	2	10	22	6	13	92
Proportion Co	nsistent	0.27	0.08	0.06	0.18	0.31	0.15	0.34	0.23

Appendices

Appendix 1. Characteristic Imputation

Appendix 2. Race/Hispanic Origin Domains

Characteristic Imputation

P Sample

For dual system estimation, P-sample records are imputed because of either an edit failure or a missing value Table 1.1 identifies what is considered an imputed value for the variables needed to assign P-sample records to the post-strata. The values in the table are the imputation flag values for these variables. The flags can be found on the Person Dual System Estimation P-sample Output Person File.

Table 1.1: Identifying Imputed Values for the P Sample

P-sample Characteristic	Reported Values	Imputed Values
Age	1 = No imputation	2 = Imputation because of edit failure3 = Imputation because of missing value
Race	1 = No imputation	2 = Imputation because of edit failure3 = Imputation because of missing value
Hispanic Origin	1 = No imputation	2 = Imputation because of edit failure3 = Imputation because of missing value
Sex	1 = No imputation	2 = Imputation because of edit failure3 = Imputation because of missing value
Tenure	1 = No imputation	2 = Imputation because of edit failure3 = Imputation because of missing value

E Sample

For dual system estimation, E-sample records are imputed using the results of the census imputation procedure. We match each E-sample housing unit or person to the Hundred Percent Census Edited File (HCEF), and fill in missing characteristics in the E-sample records with the corresponding imputed values in the HCEF records. Table 1.2 identifies what is considered an imputed value for the variables needed to assign E-sample records to a post-stratum. The values in the table are the HCEF allocation flag values for these variables. The flags can be found on the Person Dual System Estimation E-sample Output Person File.

Table 1.2: Identifying Imputed Values for the E Sample

E-sample Characteristic	Reported Values	Imputed Values
Age	0 = Both Consistent 1 = Age Only 2 = Date of birth only	3 = Inconsistent age and date of birth 4 = Allocated from hot deck 9 = E-sample person did not match to the HCEF
Race	0 = As reported	 1 = Code changed through consistency edit 3 = Assigned from race response to Hispanic origin question 4 = Allocated from within household 5 = Allocated from hot deck 9 = E-sample person did not match to the HCEF
Hispanic Origin	0 = 1 reported origin 2 = Multiple response given a unique Hispanic or Non-Hispanic code	3 = Assigned Hispanic Origin from race code 4 = Allocated from within household 5 = Allocated from hot deck (surname used) 6 = Allocated from hot deck (surname not used) 9 = E-sample person did not match to the HCEF
Ser	0 = As reported	1 = From first name 2 = Edited 4 = Allocated from hot deck 5 = Allocated from consistency check 9 = E-sample person did not match to the HCEF
Tenure	0 = As reported	 1 = Assigned by consistency check 4 = Allocated from hot deck 9 = E-sample person did not match to the HCEF

Race/Hispanic Origin Domains

The race/Hispanic origin domain assignment generally follows the guidelines listed below, but it is essential to see Haines (2000) for the complete set of rules used to classify people into one of the seven domains. The race/Hispanic origin domain assignment is hierarchical.

Domain 1 (American Indian or Alaska Native on reservations) includes:

All people on a reservation with American Indian or Alaska Native either as their single race or as one of multiple races, regardless of their Hispanic origin.

Domain 2 (American Indian or Alaska Native off reservations) includes:

- All people in Indian Country¹ but not on a reservation with American Indian or Alaska Native either as their single race or as one of multiple races, regardless of their Hispanic origin.
- All non-Hispanic people not in Indian Country with American Indian or Alaska Native as their single race.

Domain 3 (Hispanic) includes:

- All Hispanic people in Indian Country, excluding those with American Indian or Alaska Native either as their single race or as one of multiple races.
- All Hispanic people not in Indian Country, excluding those who live in the state of Hawaii and have Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander as a single race or as one of multiple races.

¹ Indian Country is land considered (either wholly or partially) on an American Indian reservation/trust land, Tribal Jurisdiction Statistical Area, Tribal Designated Statistical Area, or Alaska Native Village Statistical Area. For Census 2000, Tribal Jurisdiction Statistical Area has been formally renamed as Oklahoma Tribal Statistical Area.

Domain 4 (Non-Hispanic Black) includes:

- All non-Hispanic people with Black as their only race.
- All non-Hispanic people with the race combination of Black and American Indian or Alaska Native who do not live in Indian Country.
- All people with the race combination of Black and another single race group (Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, Asian, White, or "Some other race"), excluding those who live in the state of Hawaii and are Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander in addition to Black.

Domain 5 (Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander) includes:

- All non-Hispanic people with the single race Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander.
- All non-Hispanic people with the race combination of Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander and American Indian or Alaska Native who do not live in Indian Country.
- All non-Hispanic people with the race combination of Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander and Asian.
- All people living in the state of Hawaii with Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander race, regardless of their Hispanic origin and whether they identify with a single race or multiple races.

Domain 6 (Non-Hispanic Asian) includes:

- All non-Hispanic people with Asian as their single race.
- All people with the race combination of Asian and American Indian or Alaska Native who do not live in Indian Country.

Domain 7 (Non-Hispanic White or "Some other race") includes:

- All non-Hispanic people self-identifying as either White or "Some other race" as their single race, or self-identifying as both White and "Some other race."
- All non-Hispanic people with the race combination of American Indian or Alaska Native and White or "Some other race" who do not live in Indian Country.
- All non-Hispanic people with the race combinations of Asian and White or "Some other race."
- All non-Hispanic people with the race combination of Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander and White or "Some other race," excluding those who live in the state of Hawaii.
- All non-Hispanic people with three or more races who live in Indian Country, excluding those with American Indian or Alaska Native as one of the races.
- All non-Hispanic people with three or more races and who do not live in Indian Country, excluding those who live in Hawaii and have Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander as one of the races.